



May 17, 2017

**TO:** Flood Authority Members  
**FROM:** Scott Boettcher, Staff  
**SUBJECT:** Local Projects Update

The purpose of this memo is to provide Flood Authority members with a quick listing of several local projects items to cover during tomorrow's 5-17-2017 Flood Authority call. Please feel free to call or email if you have questions (i.e., 360/480-6600, [scottb@sbgh-partners.com](mailto:scottb@sbgh-partners.com)).

#### A. End of Biennium

1. **Invoicing/Billing** – As the end of the biennium approaches it is increasingly important that Basin Government remain attentive to regular invoicing of RCO for local project expenses incurred to date. Flood Authority members with local projects in their jurisdictions may want to take an active interest in ensuring invoices are submitted. This will help Flood Authority staff, RCO and OFM as the end of the biennium comes and is reconciled.
2. **Reappropriation** – Flood Authority staff (Scott) has been working with your jurisdictions to identify reappropriation needs, i.e., to identify 2015-17 funds that will not be spent by 7/01/2017. Flood Authority members with local projects in their jurisdictions may want to take an active interest in ensuring reappropriation requests are clear (i.e., clear \$ amounts, clear new end dates) and explainable. RCO will work to amend existing local project agreement to implement reappropriation extensions.

Several common factors have emerged as reasons for needing reappropriation extensions, including:

- **Permitting** – Delayed permitting decisions by Corps of Engineers for example has resulted in substantial construction delays this biennium (China Creek at \$1M, Cosmopolis at \$2.7M).
- **Weather** – Unusually wet Winter and Spring weather and soil conditions has affected construction activities (China Creek at \$1M, Airport at \$150K, Farm Pads/Evacuation Routes at \$450K).
- **Late Funding Awards** – In some instances due to projects being funded mid-biennium (or later), there wasn't sufficient time available to accomplish as much as project sponsors would have hoped (Fry Creek at \$300K, Hoquiam at \$1M).

## B. Project Saving/Sharing

Flood Authority jurisdictions have an established history of working beyond borders and sharing resources to accomplish Basin needs. Following tables identify recent instances of sharing resources between projects (Table I) and proposed sharing opportunities for Flood Authority consideration (Table II).

**Table I**  
**Recent instances of sharing unspent/saved resources between projects (April 2017)**

GHC -- Wishkah Road Flood Study	15-1596	\$(9,852.61)	Unspent
Lewis County -- Airport Road Structure Demolition	17-1164	\$9,852.61	Need
GHC -- Wishkah Road Flood Study	15-1596	\$(130,000)	Unspent
Montesano -- WWTP Protection Study	15-1529	\$130,000	Need

**Table II**  
**Proposed opportunities for sharing of unspent resources between projects (May 2017)**

Lewis County CD -- Boistfort Valley Water	15-1526	\$(25,000)	Unspent
Chehalis -- Flood Storage Master Plan (Development Phase)	16-2727	\$25,000	Need
GHC -- Wishkah Road Flood Levee	16-1187	\$(200,000)	Unspent
Cosmopolis -- Mill Creek	15-1510	\$200,000	Need
Chehalis -- Dillenbaugh Creek Study	16-2031	\$(1,000.00)	Unspent
Montesano -- West McBryde Culvert Replacement	16-2750	\$(21,244.53)	Unspent
Replace radio transmitters with Ver 2.0	15-1475	\$22,244.53	Need

### Recommendation

Flood Authority staff recommends Flood Authority (a) authorize sharing of resources in Table II as described above and (b) direct Staff to work with Basin Governments and RCO implement agreement amendments as necessary.