
Puget Sound Nutrient Forum

Suggestions and feedback on a Nutrients General Permit Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC) proposal

January 30, 2020





- 1) We have provided a list of types of organizations or characteristics that should be represented in the advisory committee. There should be no more than 15 members. Is there any type of representation missing in this group?
 - Small WWTP
 - Medium WWTP
 - Large WWTP
 - Geographic spread across Puget Sound
 - North, Central, South
 - Each basin in SSM
 - Other?

- City-owned WWTPs
- County-owned WWTPs
- Sewer districts
- Private WWTPs
- Operators
- Conveyors only
- Tribes
- Environmental Organizations
- Other State Agencies
- EPA

2) We want a core committee that is manageable in size that represent the variety of WWTPs we intend to cover with the permit. We expect committee members will communicate to other permittees outsides the formal SAC and gather information from others to bring to SAC. We want to ensure representative stakeholders are able to represent and be the voice for similar facilities that are not at the table. How can we make this expectation successful?

3)	We are interested in your feedback about meeting logistics. Do you have any preferences on:
	Webinar vs. in-person
	 Scheduling (frequency of meetings)
	Half-day vs. full day meetings
	Location of meetings
4)	The Stakeholder advisory committee will be used to solicit feedback on permit coverage
4)	requirements, application requirements, and limits, such as loading caps, optimization of existing
	processes and planning requirements. Is there anything missing that you would add to this scope
	of work?
5)	Please write any additional feedback or comments here:
Please send this form to Kelly Ferron at <u>Kelly.ferron@ecy.wa.gov</u> by Friday, February 7.	